### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

See form PCT/ISA/220    See form PCT/ISA/220   WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)	NTEI	the RNATIONAL SEARCHING A	UTHORITY			
See form PCT/ISA/220    Content of mailing (asymonthylear)   See form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)	To:				PCT	
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/SA/220  Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/SA/220 (second sheet)  Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/SA/220 (second sheet)  FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below  International application No.   International filing date (day/month/pear)   Priority date (day/month/pear)   25.06.2003  International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  H01S3/067  Applicant  SOUTHAMPTON PHOTONICS LIMITED  1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:  Box No. II   Priority   Box No. III   Priority   Box No. IV   Lack of unity of invention  Box No. IV   Lack of unity of invention  Box No. V   Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Box No. VI   Certain documents cited   Box No. VII   Certain defects in the international application   Box No. VIII   Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VII   Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VII   Certain defects in the international application  FORTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International preliminary examination is one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the international Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA at def malling of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the date of malling of Form PCT/ISA/220.		n.				
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220    FOR FURTHER ACTION   Priority date (day/month/year)   Priority date (day/month/year)   23.06.2004   Priority date (day/month/year)   25.06.2003	see form PCT/ISA/220			WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/SA/220  FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below  International application No. PCT/GB/2004/00/2712  International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  H01S3/067  Applicant SOUTHAMPTON PHOTONICS LIMITED  1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:  Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. IV Certain described as explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain defects in the international application Box No. VI Certain defects in the international application Box No. VII Certain observations on the International application Box No. VII Certain observations on the International application Box No. VII Certain observations on the International application Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VII Certain observations on the International application Box No. VII Certain observations on the International application Box No. VII Certain observations on the International application Box No. VII Certain observations on the International application Box No. VII Certain observations on the International application Box No. VII Certain observations on the International application Box No. VII Certain observations on the International application Box No. VII Certain observations on the International application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the International application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the International application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the International application of the IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration					(PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)	
International application No. PCT/GB2004/002712 23.06.2004 25.06.2003 Priority date (day/month/year) 25.06.2003  International patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC H0153/067  Applicant SOUTHAMPTON PHOTONICS LIMITED  1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:  Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. III Priority Son. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43/bis.1 (a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application  2. FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the international Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this international Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.					see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC H01S3.067  Applicant SOUTHAMPTON PHOTONICS LIMITED  1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:  Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. IV Certain documents cited Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VI Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application Tild a demand for international Preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Byreau under Rule 65.1b/s(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.		=			elow	
Applicant SOUTHAMPTON PHOTONICS LIMITED  1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:    Box No.   Basis of the opinion			-	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:    Box No.   Basis of the opinion   Box No.   Priority     Box No.   Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability     Box No.   Lack of unity of invention     Box No.   Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement     Box No. V  Certain documents cited     Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application     Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application     Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application     Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application     Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application     Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application     Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application     Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application     Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application     Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application     Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application     Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application     Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application     Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application     Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application     Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application     Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application     Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application     Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application     Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application     Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application     Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international applic		· ·	C) or both national classification	on and IPC	\$	
Box No. I Basis of the opinion  Box No. II Priority  Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement  Box No. VI Certain documents cited  Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application  Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application  Purther ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.			S LIMITED			
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.  For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.	<ul> <li>Box No. I Basis of the opinion</li> <li>Box No. II Priority</li> <li>Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability</li> <li>Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention</li> <li>Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</li> <li>Box No. VI Certain documents cited</li> <li>Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application</li> <li>Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application</li> </ul>					
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.		If a demand for international written opinion of the International pureau under will not be so considered.  If this opinion is, as provide submit to the IPEA a written months from the date of matching whichever expires later.	national Preliminary Examinicuthority other than this one Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written and above, considered to be an reply together, where appailing of Form PCT/ISA/220	ing Authority ("IPEA"; to be the IPEA and to opinions of this Inter a written opinion of the ropriate, with amende	he IPEA, the applicant is invited to ments, before the expiration of three	
	3.	For further details, see note	es to Form PCT/ISA/220.			

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

**Authorized Officer** 

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4285





## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/002712

_		<u>ئ</u> يا۔۔۔		
	Во	x N	o. I Basis of the opinion	_
1.	Withe	lan	egard to the <b>language</b> , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	
		Th lai (u	nis opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following age. , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search and representation for the purposes of international search and representations.	BEST
2.	Wit	th re	gard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	AVAILABLE
	a. t	type	of material:	
			a sequence listing	
	b. f	orm	at of material:	<b>200</b>
			in written format	<
			in computer readable form	
	c. t	ime	of filing/furnishing:	
			contained in the international application as filed.	
			filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
			furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3.		ha co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating there is been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.	to

4. Additional comments:

Statement	. '	•	ſ
Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims	6-25	
	No: Claims	1-5	5
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims		ġ
	No: Claims	6-25	į
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims	1-25	j
	No: Claims	>	5

see separate sheet

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1 The **following documents** are referred to in this communication:

D1: US 5 864 645 A - 26 January 1999;

D2: US 6 157 763 A - 5 December 2000;

D3: US 2002/181512 A1 - 5 December 2002;

D4: EP 1 043 816 A2 - 11 October 2000.

D5: US 2002/0172486 - 21 November 2002.

#### 2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document), see in particular fig. 2 (which represents the prior art of D1):

- an apparatus for providing optical radiation (fig. 2, col. 2 line 53 onwards), which apparatus comprises an optical fibre having core (6), a first cladding (4) and a second cladding (8), in which the first cladding has a substantially constant diameter in its cross-section (obvious as it has a circular shape).

Hence D1 discloses an apparatus comprising all the technical features of claim 1, therefore the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

2.2 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT, with respect to the <u>first embodiment</u> of D1 for the following reasons:

Document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

- an apparatus for providing optical radiation (fig. 3, col. 2 line 53 onwards), which apparatus comprises an optical fibre having core (24), a first cladding (22, labelled "pump core") and a second cladding (26), in which the first cladding has a <u>substantially</u> constant diameter in its cross-section.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/GB2004/002712

This last condition is fulfilled due to the following:

- in D1 col. 3 line 34,35 it is stated that the essentially round shape of the pump core is retained;
- the ground portion "a" (see fig. 3) has a dimension in between 1% and 49% of the pump core diameter. An example is given with a=1% (see col. 4 line 4-6 and fig. 5).

In conclusion, It is considered that in the apparatus disclosed in D1, the first cladding has an essentially round shape and that the deviation from a round shape is 1% which implies that the diameter of the cross section of the first cladding is <u>substantially</u> constant.

#### 3 DEPENDENT CLAIMS

الجيد -

 Dependent claims 2-5 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty (Article 33(2) PCT).

Indeed the technical features of these claims are disclosed by D1. See for instance fig. 2 which shows that the first cladding is non circular (due to the ground portion a), that it has at least one axis of mirror symmetry (the perpendicular to the ground portion a passing trough the center).

Dependent claims 6-25 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features
of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive
step (Article 33(3) PCT).

Indeed the additional technical features of these claims are either known from D1-D5 or are merely one of several straightforward possibilities known to the an skilled in the art. For instance claims 16-21 refer to longitudinal holes within the fibre. These features are known from D5.